

## **RFP**

Rudolf-Wissell-Str. 28 37079 Göttingen, Germany

Phone: +49 551-50556-0 Fax: +49 551-50556-384 E-mail: sales@sysy.com Web: www.sysy.com

Cat.No. 409 011; Monoclonal mouse antibody, 100 µg purified IgG (lyophilized)

## **Data Sheet**

Reconstitution/ Storage	100 μg purified IgG, lyophilized. Azide was added before lyophilization. For reconstitution add 100 μl H₂O to get a 1mg/ml solution in PBS. Then aliquot and store at -20°C until use.
Applications	WB: not recommended IP: not tested yet ICC: 1:500 IHC: 1:500 IHC-P/FFPE: not recommended
Clone	337B1
Subtype	IgG1 (κ light chain)
Immunogen	Recombinant protein corresponding to AA 1 to 219 from sea anemone mScarlet
Epitop	Epitop: AA 1 to 219 from sea anemone mScarlet
Specificity	Recognizes mRFP, mCherry, mOrgange2, tdTomato, mScarlet.

## TO BE USED IN VITRO / FOR RESEARCH ONLY NOT TOXIC, NOT HAZARDOUS, NOT INFECTIOUS, NOT CONTAGIOUS

Red fluorescent protein RFP and its derivates have become universal tools in cell biology. Most RFPs derive from a protein isolated from Discosoma sp. They are used as fluorescent tags to investigate expression levels, patterns and protein localization.

## **Selected General References**

Ubiquitous expression of the monomeric red fluorescent protein mCherry in transgenic mice. Fink D, Wohrer S, Pfeffer M, Tombe T, Ong CJ, Sorensen PH Genesis (New York, N.Y.: 2000) (2010) 48(12): 723-9.

Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein. Shaner NC, Campbell RE, Steinbach PA, Giepmans BN, Palmer AE, Tsien RY Nature biotechnology (2004) 22(12): 1567-72.

Diversity and evolution of the green fluorescent protein family. Labas YA, Gurskava NG, Yanushevich YG, Fradkov AF, Lukvanov KA, Lukvanov SA, Matz MV Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (2002) 99(7): 4256-61.

Novel fluorescent protein from Discosoma coral and its mutants possesses a unique far-red fluorescence. Fradkov AF, Chen Y, Ding L, Barsova EV, Matz MV, Lukyanov SA FEBS letters (2000) 479(3): 127-30.